

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/954,721	09/12/2001	Martin Olsson	MLSE 1006-1	7603
7590 11/17/2006			EXAMINER	
HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP			GRANT II, JEROME	
P.O. Box 366 Half Moon Bay, CA 94019			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
		DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/954,721	OLSSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jerome Grant II	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
4)Ly-Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) √-16 fare subject to restriction and/or					
8) 台 Claim(s) / / / / / / / / are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
		JEROME GRANT PRIMARY EXAMINER			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summafy (Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 09/954,721

Art Unit: 2625

Restriction Requirement

1.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a geometry for driving a voltage of mirrors, classified in class 399, subclass 11
- II. Claims 12-19, drawn to a method of rendering data in a memory, classified in class 345/538 or 358/1.18.
- III. Claims 20-34 and 92-94, drawn to polygonal manipulation using a memory, classified in class 382, subclass 241.
- IV. Claims, 35-60 are drawn to representing an area to be processed based on a two-dimensional polygon classified in 382/206.
- V. Claims 61-81 are, drawn to detecting an edge of an image, classified in class 382, subclass 199.
- VI. Claims 95-106, drawn to a method for transmitting graphical data 358/1.18.
- VII. Claims 107-108, drawn to a method for evaluating pixel values along edges classified in class 358, subclass 2.1.

Application/Control Number: 09/954,721

Art Unit: 2625

- VIII Claims 109-131, drawn to defining an edge of a polygon classified in 382, subclass 266.
- XI. Claims 132-141 drawn to selecting bar maps which approximate an edge intersecting an area, classified in 382/193.
- X. Claims 142-146 drawn to a method for defining a corner, classified in class 382 subclass 287.
- XI. Claims 147-150, drawn to a method for loading polygons, classified in class 345 subclass 241.
- XII. Claims 151-157, drawn to a method for providing scale conversion for images classified in class 382 subclass 298.
- XIII. Claims 158-164, drawn to energy variations in multi-pass stepped irradiation of high contrast layer, classified in class 250, subclass 339.11.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Each of the inventions 1-13 have separate utility in themselves and do not require the unique inventions for utilization for each of the other inventions, i.e., the separate inventions are not necessary in order to make the other The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as

Application/Control Number: 09/954,721 Page 4

Art Unit: 2625

claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are distinct as provided by their separate status in the art and the recognized divergent subject matter as set forth by their unique class and subclasses. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

2.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 2625

4.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

5.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/954,721 Page 6

Art Unit: 2625

6.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Grant II whose telephone number is 571-272-7463. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore, can be reached on 571-272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JEROME GRANT PRIMARY EXAMINER